1 2	JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON, LLC 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889		
4	Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com		
5	Attorney for Jocelyn Pineda		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	CASE NO: 2:17-cr-258-APG-GWF	
12	Tiamum,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING	
13	VS.	(First Request)	
14	JOCELYN PINEDA Defendant.))	
15	Defendant.		
16))	
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPLILATED AND A	GREED by IOCELYN PINEDA by and	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by JOCELYN PINEDA, by and through her attorney, JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ., and the United States of America, by and		
20			
21	through RICHARD ANTHONY LOPEZ, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for August 23, 2018, at 10:00 a.m., be vacated and		
22			
23	continued at least forty-five (45) days past the current sentencing date to a date and time that is convenient to this Honorable Court.		
24			
25	The request for a continuance is based upon the following:		
2627	surgery. Counsel is still in the	cently suffered an injury to his arm that required process of recovering from the surgery and lete tasks necessary to prepare for Ms. Pineda's	

sentencing.

1	2.	Defendant JOCELYN I continuance.	PINEDA is in custody, and she has no objection to the		
2					
3	3.		JOCELYN PINEDA, has spoken to AUSA Richard agrees to the continuance.		
5	4.	The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.			
6	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencin reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "c				
7		nay, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed by this rule."			
8	6.	6. This is the first stipulation to continue the sentencing hearing filed herein.			
9	7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage				
10		of justice.			
11	DATED, And	mat 16, 2010			
12	DATED: August 16, 2018 Respectfully submitted,				
13					
14	/s/ Iamas A	Dronog	/s/ Richard Anthony Lopez		
15	James A. Oro		Richard Anthony Lopez, Esq.		
16	Oronoz & Eri	csson, LLC Drive, Suite 120	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard, South, Suite 1100		
17	Las Vegas, N	evada, 89145	Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101		
18	Attorney for J	Jocelyn Pineda	Attorney for the United States of America		
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1 2 3	JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6769 ORONOZ & ERICSSON, LLC 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145			
4	Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542 jim@oronozlawyers.com			
5	Attorney for Jocelyn Pineda			
6				
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
10		* * *		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))) CASE NO: 2:17-cr-258-APG-GWF		
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER		
13	vs.)))		
14	JOCELYN PINEDA,			
15	Defendant.)		
16)		
17				
18 19	FINDINGS OF FACT			
20				
21	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the			
22	Court finds:			
23		recently suffered an injury to his arm that required the process of recovering from the surgery and		
24		mplete tasks necessary to prepare for Ms. Pineda's		
25	2. Defendant JOCELYN PINEI	DA is in custody, and she has no objection to the		
26	continuance.	in the same and the support of the		
27 28	3. Defense Counsel for JOCE Anthony Lopez, and he agree	LYN PINEDA, has spoken to AUSA Richard s to the continuance.		

- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed by this rule."
- 6. This is the first stipulation to continue the sentencing hearing filed herein.
- 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public in proceeding with the sentencing hearing as scheduled, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice at the sentencing hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

4

1				
2	<u>ORDER</u>			
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for August 23,			
4	2018, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to the 9th day of			
5	October , 20 18 , at the hour of 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6C.			
6	, 20 22 , at the hour of 10.00 u.m. pr courtroom oc.			
7	20.1			
8	DATED AND DONE this 20th day of August, 2018.			
9				
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				